The challenge to find the optimum radiation pattern and placement of stereo loudspeakers in a room for the creation of phantom sources and simultaneous masking of real sources





Do we know the optimum way to reproduce 2-channel sound?



Two-channel playback in a normal living space can provide an experience that is fully satisfying. Loudspeakers and room disappear and the illusion of listening into a different space takes over.



The optimum radiation pattern for a loudspeaker and the optimum placement of two loudspeakers in a room are not generally known and understood



Radiation Pattern

Sealed box, 2-way



Audio/Video Showroom





Radiation patterns are omni, dipole, bipole, directional and non-directional, or combinations thereof

Loudspeakers are placed in corners, on shelves, into the wall, against the wall, on stands, out in the room, etc.

Rooms are treated with absorbers, diffusors, are lively, dead, or in between

It all works to some degree



There is no clear choice, but agreement that:

A symmetrical loudspeaker setup is best for sound stage balance & phantom imaging

Electrostatic loudspeakers often excel in sonic detail, clarity and openness though they have dynamic range and placement problems

Rooms are problematic



The room is not the problem! The loudspeaker's off-axis response is the problem!



Stereo is about creating an Auditory ILLUSION



Anything that distracts from creating the illusion must be minimized

How do we perceive the REALITY of an Auditory Scene?



How do we perceive the REALITY of a Visual Scene?



Depth in a 2-dimensional Visual Scene



What is this?









Auditory Scene

Hearing evolved in an environment with multiple sources and reflections



- DirectionDistanceSize
- TrackingMeaningAttention

Hearing happens between the ears, using:



- Intensity differences
- Arrival time differences
- Envelope variations
- Spectrum masking
- Stream segregation
- Pattern recognition
- Attention
- ✤ Learning

Head movements Tactile & visual inputs



Association Model of Perception



A single loudspeaker in the room: A real source



Drift thresholds for one and two reflections



Fig. 2.6. Drift threshold (DT) of a second reflection R_2 , continuous speech

Peter Damaske, Acoustics and Hearing, Springer 2008

Two loudspeaker in the room: Real and phantom sources



Observations after 30+ years of designing loudspeakers to please myself







Μ





These dipolar and monopolar loudspeakers sound almost identical in spectral balance and clarity despite their differences in measured room response and burst response.

Phantom imaging is very similar, precise, but with greater depth for the dipole.

Loudspeakers and room "disappear"



Radiation Pattern



My Living Room

Loudspeaker & listener setup symmetrical and >1 m from walls
 Lively acoustics (RT60 around 500ms above 200 Hz)



Room response at A

Left speaker **Right speaker** Transfer Function Mag - dB SPL/volts (0.33 oct)(eq:aux) Transfer Function Mag - dB SPL/volts (0.33 oct)(eq:aux) M 135.0 L 135.0 1/3 + 100 E S 1/3 S 130.0 130.0 S 125.0 125.0 120.0 120.0 115.0 115.0 110.0 110.0 105.0 105.0 100.0 100.0 Dipole 95.0 95.0 90.0 90.0 DRA DLA 85.0 85.0 erplot erplot 100.0 1000.0 10000.0 20.0 100.0 1000.0 10000.0 20.0 log Frequency - Hz log Frequency - Hz Transfer Function Mag - dB SPL/volts (0.33 oct)(eq:aux) Transfer Function Mag - dB SPL/volts (0.33 oct)(eq:aux) 135.0 135.0 FIDDE 130.0 130.0 125.0 125.0 120.0 120.0 115.0 115.0 110.0 110.0 105.0 105.0 100.0 100.0 95.0 Monopole 95.0 Vonopole 90.0 90.0 MLA MRA 85.0 85.0 erplot erplot

from 200 ms impulse response time record

100.0

1000.0

log Frequency - Hz

10000.0

20.05

LINKWITZ LAB

20.0

100.0

1000.0

log Frequency - Hz

10000.0

Room reflections and their measurement











3 kHz burst response at A during 50 ms



Power spectrum during 50 ms of 3 kHz burst



POSTULATE #1

To minimize misleading cues from the room requires:

- Spectrum of reflections = direct sound
- ♦ Delay of reflections >6 ms (Δ I > 6 ft)
- Symmetry of reflections rel. to direct sounds



POSTULATE #2

To optimally illuminate the room requires a frequency-independent polar response as from:

- Omni-directional loudspeakers
- Bi-directional, dipolar loudspeakers
- Uni-directional, cardioid loudspeakers



The task of building a dipole loudspeaker with:

- ✤ Flat on-axis response in free-field (20 Hz 20 kHz)
- Frequency independent polar response
- ♦ Acoustically small size (λ = 34 cm @ 1kHz)
- Low cabinet edge diffraction
- Low stored energy (resonances)
- Low non-linear distortion (new sounds, intermodulation)
- ✤ Large dynamic range, high SPL

Practical dipole source - Bass frequency range -



Fequal @ $D = \lambda/6$

Practical dipole source - Mid frequency range -



Practical dipole source - Tweeter frequency range -



Have we found the optimum way to reproduce 2-channel sound?





My Conclusions

 Natural hearing processes must be respected to optimally create auditory illusions in the absence of physically accurate wavefield reproduction

- Loudspeakers should be designed to illuminate the listening room with equal timbre for all horizontal and vertical angles
- Loudspeakers should be placed at least 1 m from the walls
- Loudspeakers and listener should be set up symmetrically relative to adjacent room boundaries
 - The loudspeaker is far more problematic than the room in creating a believable auditory illusion

Compared to surround sound:

What am I gaining? Frontal spatial realism
Believability
Satisfaction
Simplicity

I challenge the audio engineering community to scientifically verify, dismiss or refine what has been observed about the perceptual effects of radiation pattern and loudspeaker room placement

Prerequisites for the Tests



- 1. Room of at least 6 x 4.5 x 2.4 m
- Tweeter at least
 1 m from walls
- 3. Dipole & box loudspeakers
- 4. Listeners familiar with acoustic sounds in spaces

Listener Qualifications

Able to listen for the naturalness of sounds rather than for particular preferences

Having auditory memory/experience of unamplified sounds

Able to recognize the naturalness of sounds in space (direct-reflected-reverberant in 3D)

The Task

For the specified setup and for the two loudspeaker types:

- 1 Characterize the differences in phantom image creation and loudspeaker/room masking
 - 2 Determine the sensitivity of the results to loudspeaker placement closer to, or further away from the walls
 - 3 Explain the results in psycho-acoustic terms
 - 4 Suggest improvements in the radiation pattern, implement them and verify their effectiveness



The need for sound recordings from a realistic perspective



Mapping from Concert Hall to Loudspeakers



Source + Response microphone array



Two-channel playback in a normal living space can provide an experience that is fully satisfying. Loudspeakers and room disappear and the illusion of listening into a different space takes over.



Thank you for your attention

Questions?

www.linkwitzlab.com Publications